PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION FOR THE MUNICIPALITY OF CHATHAM-KENT DRESDEN WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT

2018 PERFORMANCE REPORT

January 1 to December 31, 2018

Amended Certificate of Approval # 8101-7QHKYR

Plant Type and Brief Description:

The Dresden Water Pollution Control Plant provides treatment of wastewater for approximately 2,500 residents of Dresden. Wastewater is collected and transported to the plant by gravity through the sanitary sewer system. As such, there are no sanitary pump stations located in Dresden.

The Dresden Water Pollution Control Plant is an Extended Aeration Plant with chemical phosphorus removal and final effluent disinfection. The plant was built in 1976 with a maximum design flow of 4,546m³/day. The plant discharges final effluent to the Sydenham River.

The existing treatment system includes the following processes:

- Raw sewage pumping
- · Screening collection and removal
- Aerated Grit System
- Aeration Tanks
- Chemical phosphorus removal
- Settling Clarifiers
- Chlorine disinfection of final effluent
- Sludge collection and pumpage
- Sludge holding lagoons

Sludge from the holding lagoons is applied to agricultural land in the spring and fall each year.

REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

UNDER CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL #8101-7QHKYR

Summary and Interpretation of Monitoring and Comparison to the Effluent Limits: Condition 9 (5) (a)

Tables 1 and 2 outline monthly average results of parameters tested compared to the limits outlined in the Certificate of Approval Table 2 Effluent Limits.

No criteria were exceeded during this reporting period for the effluent limits as outlined in Condition 6 Effluent Limits of the Certificate of Approval.

Success and Adequacy of the Works

During the reporting period, the annual average daily flow was 1,672 m³/day, which represents approximately 37% of the rated capacity of 4,546 m³/day.

There were no flow exceedances based on the Average Daily Flow during this reporting period.

Overall, the Dresden WPCP performed well for this reporting period.

Table 1: Summary of Monitoring Data and Comparison to Effluent Limits & Objectives – Concentrations

as well as rated capacity to the sewage works

Rated Capacity: 4,546 m³/day average daily flow Total sewage flow to the works during a calendar year divided by the number of days during which sewage was flowing to the works that year

Month	Total Monthly Influent Flow m ³	Avg Daily Influent Flow /Month m³/day	Avg Daily Influent Flow/Year m³/day	% of Plant Capacity	CBOD ₅ mg/L	рН	Total P mg/L	Total S.S. mg/L	Total Cl ₂ mg/L	E.coli
Limits	none	none	4,546	100	25	6.0 - 9.5	1.0	25		200
Objectives	none	none	4,546	100	15	6.0 - 9.5	8.0	15		
Jan	38,146	1,231			2.0	7.64	0.09	1.4	0.68	10
Feb	49,561	1,770			2.0	7.98	0.17	1.0	0.45	13
Mar	43,915	1,417			2.0	7.90	0.18	1.5	0.42	10
Apr	51,344	1,711			2.2	7.91	0.13	1.6	0.27	37
May	39,337	1,269			2.5	8.11	0.10	3.5	0.66	12
Jun	40,842	1,361			2.5	8.05	0.09	1.8	0.51	10
Jul	37,659	1,215			2.0	7.90	0.09	2.8	0.55	18
Aug	47,557	1,534			2.3	7.88	0.16	5.0	0.57	27
Sep	115,846	3,862			2.0	8.38	0.24	7.3	0.37	120
Oct	64,799	2,090			2.0	7.98	0.17	8.2	0.82	12
Nov	44,292	1,476			2.0	8.14	0.08	4.3	0.88	10
Dec	37,069	1,196			2.0	7.89	0.08	6.8	0.97	10
Year			1,672	37%						
	Yearly Total Flow m³				Yearly Ma	ximums				
	610,367	3,862			2.5	8.38	0.24	8.2	0.97	120

Table 2: Summary of Monitoring Data and Comparison to Effluent Limits – Loadings

Month	Avg Daily influent Flow /Month m³/day	CBOD₅ Kg/Day	Total S.S. Kg/Day	Total P Kg/Day
Limits	none	113	113	4.5
Jan	1,231	2.46	1.72	0.11
Feb	1,770	3.54	1.77	0.30
Mar	1,417	2.83	2.12	0.26
Apr	1,711	3.77	2.74	0.22
May	1,269	3.17	4.44	0.13
Jun	1,361	3.40	2.38	0.12
Jul	1,215	2.43	3.40	0.11
Aug	1,534	3.45	7.67	0.24
Sep	3,862	7.72	28.00	0.91
Oct	2,090	4.18	17.14	0.35
Nov	1,476	2.95	6.27	0.11
Dec	1,196	2.39	8.07	0.09
		Yearly M	laximums	
	3,861	7.72	28.00	0.91

Operating Problems and Corrective Action: Condition 9 (5) (b)

There were no major operational problems encountered during the reporting period.

Summary of Maintenance Activities: Condition 9 (5) (c)

All regular and routine maintenance in the plant was carried out throughout the reporting period. Aeration tanks were drained and cleaned as well as inspected. Chatham-Kent PUC utilises an electronic preventative maintenance program to track preventative maintenance. In addition to the routine maintenance, the following additional maintenance activities and equipment replacement was completed for the reporting period:

•	Generator Serviced	\$ 1,000
•	Replace Pocket Colourimeter Replacement	610
•	Wet Well Clean Out	6,100
•	Replacement Portable pH probe	250
•	Installation of new Equipment Lifts for all Tanks	13,000
•	Main Lift pump #1 Repair	3,600
•	Replace Cl ₂ shut off valves extensions in the contact chamber	1,000
•	SCADA and Processor Equipment Installation (ongoing)	45,000
•	Effluent Water sampler replacement	500
•	Back Flow preventers tested	200
•	Generator Repair	2,100
•	Side 1 seeding during canner's tomato season start up	700
•	Installation of 3 Support Brackets/Cl2 leak repair in Contact Chamb	er 100
•	New Verbatim for Extra Alarms	8,000

Quality Assurance and Control Measures: Condition 9 (5) (d)

Dresden Water Pollution Plant followed a sampling schedule developed in accordance with the Certificate of Approval and applicable regulations for this reporting period.

Composite chemistry samples of the raw flow were collected using an auto sampler. Chemistry samples were submitted monthly to an accredited laboratory for analysis of BOD₅, Total Suspended Solids, Total Phosphorus, pH and Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen.

Composite chemistry samples of the effluent were collected using an auto sampler. Chemistry samples were submitted weekly to an accredited laboratory for analysis of CBOD₅, Total Suspended Solids, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Total Phosphorus and Total Ammonia Nitrogen, Alkalinity, pH, Nitrite and Nitrate.

Bacteriological samples of the effluent were collected weekly according to the Sampling Program. Bacteriological samples were submitted weekly to an accredited laboratory for analysis.

In house samples were analyzed by a licensed operator for pH, DO, and temperature.

Calibration and Maintenance on Effluent Monitoring Equipment: Condition 9 (5) (e)

All required probes and sensors are cleaned, maintained and/or calibrated on a monthly basis or as required by manufacturers' specifications.

Monitoring equipment calibration/verification report(s) included for the following:

- Influent flow meter
- Effluent flow meter
- Spectrophotometer

Effluent Objectives:

Condition 9 (5) (f)

Table 1 outlines monthly average results of parameters tested compared to the objectives outlined in the Certificate of Approval Table 1 Effluent Objectives.

No criteria was exceeded during this reporting period for the effluent objectives outlined in Condition 5 Effluent Objectives of the Certificate of Approval.

Sludge Management: Condition 9 (5) (g)

During the reporting period, waste activated sludge was transferred to the sludge holding lagoons. Terratec Environmental Ltd. was contracted to land apply the sludge according to agreements listed below.

Tabulation of the Volume of Sludge Generated Summary of Locations where the Sludge was Disposed

MONTH	SLUDGE VOLUME in m³	TRANSFER TO LOCATION	AGREEMENT NUMBER
May	1,332	Concession 2, Lot 6 Zone Township Chatham Kent	NASM Plan# 21741
November	1,012	Concession 2, Lot 14 Concession 2, Lot 15 Concession Block between Con 2 & 3, Lot 18 Zone Township Chatham Kent	NASM Plan# 22092
Total Sludge Applied	2,344		

Outline of Anticipated Volumes in Next Reporting Period

The sludge production and sludge handling method for the next reporting period is anticipated to be similar to that of this reporting period.

Community Complaints: Condition 9 (5) (h)

There were no Customer Complaints received during the reporting period.

By-pass, Spill, or Abnormal Discharge Events: Condition 9 (5) (i)

There were no by-pass or spill events for the reporting period.

Other Information the District Manager Requires: Condition 9 (5) (j)

No other information was required by the District Manager during this reporting period.

APPENDIX A

Yearly Operational Data Summary for the Reporting Period

Dresden Water Pollution Control Plant Operational Data Summary Yearly

|--|

2018	Jan-18	Feb-18	Mar-18	Apr-18	May-18	Jun-18	Jul-18	Aug-18	Sep-18	Oct-18	Nov-18	Dec-18	TOTAL	MAX	NIN	AVG
Raw Sewage																
Total Flow 1000 m³	38.146	49.561	43.915	51.344	39.337	40.842	37.659	47.557	115.846	64.799	44.292	37.069	610.367	115.846	37.069	50.864
Avg. Daily Flow 1000 m³/d	1.231	1.770	1.417	1.711	1.269	1.361	1.215	1.534	3.862	2.090	1.476	1.196		3.862	1.196	1.672
Max Daily Flow 1000 m³/d	1.817	5.877	2.096	5.989	2.230	3.736	2.473	3.061	5.468	8.399	3.778	1.680		8.399	1.680	3.884
Final Effluent																
Total Flow 1000 m ³	38.172	48.75	45.019	41.818	37.009	40.893	41.852	40.638	117.828	61.15	55.319	37.438	605.886	117.828	37.009	50.491
Avg. Daily Flow 1000 m³/d	1.231	1.741	1.452	1.394	1.194	1.363	1.350	1.311	3.928	1.973	1.844	1.208		3.928	1.194	1.666
Max Daily Flow 1000 m³/d	1.848	4.59	2.062	2.784	1.509	1.903	1.865	2.756	5.689	4.342	3.739	1.575		5.689	1.509	2.889
Chlorine																
CL2 Kgs. Used	67.3	57.3	53.2	46.4	55.0	46.8	51.3	63.6	188.1	128.6	105.1	96.2	958.90	188.10	46.36	79.908
CI2 Avg. Dosage mg/L	1.8	1.2	1.2	6.0	1.4	1.1	1.4	1.3	1.6	2.0	2.4	5.6		2.6	6.0	1.571
onj	89.0	0.45	0.42	0.27	99'0	0.51	0.55	0.57	0.37	0.82	0.88	0.97		1.0	0.3	0.595
# of Samples	23	50	22	21	23	21	21	23	20	23	22	21				
Aluminum Sulphate																
Total Litres Used	2131.20	1375.20	3844.80	3398.40	3412.80	2282.4	3754.08	5162.40	8438.40	7790.40	4154.40	2556.00	48300.48	8438.40	1375.20	4025.040
Avg. Monthly Dosage	21.50	12.90	33.36	28.56	31.87	22.61	38.96	43.75	28.57	53.52	37.82	25.50		53.5	12.9	31.577
Final Effluent Loadings	;	,			ļ	9,	9	!		,	100				,	
	2.46	3.54	2.83	3.77	3.17	3.40	2.43	3.45	1.72	4.18	2.95	2.39		7.72	2.39	3.53
Solids, Suspended Kg/d	1.72	1.//	2.12	2.74	4.44	2.38	3.40	/9./	28.00	17.14	6.27	8.07		28.00	1.72	7.14
Ammonia as N. ka/d	0.24	0.38	0.23	0.27	0.13	0.39	0.23	0.11	0.46	0.22	0.11	0.09		0.46	0.00	0.27
Hauled Sludge																
Volume m ³					1,332						1,012		2344.0	1332.0	1012.0	1172.00
рН					7.14						7.12			7.14	7.12	7.13
Total Solids mg/l					18,000						11,000			18000.00	11000.00	14500.00
Total P kg/m3					300.00						380.00			380.00	300.00	340.00
Nitrogen kg/m3					0.13						0.04			0.13	0.04	0.09

Dresden Water Pollution Control Plant Operational Data Summary Yearly

Works # 110002014 **Chatham Kent PUC**

	Jan-18	Feb-18	Mar-18	Apr-18	May-18	Jun-18	Jul-18	Aug-18	Sep-18	Oct-18	Nov-18	Dec-18	TOTAL	MAX	MIN	AVG
Disinfection																
results are geometric means																
E.Coli Results CFU/100ml	10.00	12.60	10.00	37.28	11.89	10.00	18.21	27.36	120.00	12.46	10.00	10.00		120.00	10.00	24.15
# of Samples	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	52	5	4	4
Raw Sewage																
BOD5, mg/L	92.0	130.0	290.0	170.0	120.0	130.0	260.0	280.0	101.0	84.0	65.0	170.0		290.0	65.0	157.7
Nitrogen, T. Kjeldahl as N, mg/L	33.0	40.0	28.0	27.0	21.0	26.0	2.0	27.0	13.0	17.0	14.0	22.0		40.0	2.0	22.5
ЬН	7.65	7.69	7.07	7.28	7.16	7.75	7.14	6.92	7.91	7.43	7.48	7.32		7.91	6.92	7.4
Phosphorous, Total as P, mg/L	3.6	4.6	4.4	5.5	2.7	3.3	5.0	10.0	2.3	4.2	2.3	2.9		10.0	2.3	4.2
Solids, Suspended mg/L	25	150	200	190	46	160	270	250	54	170	25	160		270	49	146
# of Samples	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	12	1	1	1
Final Effluent																
Total Ammonia mg/l	0.20	0.22	0.16	0.16	0.32	0.29	0.19	0.07	0.12	0.11	0.12	0.07		0.32	0.07	0.2
Total CBOD5 mg/l	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.20	2.50	2.50	2.00	2.25	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00		2.50	2.00	2.1
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN) mg/l	0.70	1.08	99.0	0.57	0.72	0.53	0.62	0.84	0.84	98.0	0.57	0.73		1.08	0.53	0.7
pH (Maxxam Lab Results)	7.64	7.98	7.90	7.91	8.11	8.05	7.90	7.88	8.38	7.98	8.14	7.89		8.38	7.64	8.0
Total Phosphorus mg/l	0.09	0.17	0.18	0.13	0.10	60.0	60.0	0.16	0.24	0.17	0.08	0.08		0.24	0.08	0.1
Total Suspended Solids mg/L	1.40	1.00	1.50	1.60	3.50	1.75	2.80	5.00	7.25	8.20	4.25	6.75		8.2	1	3.8
Alkalinity (Total as CaCO3) mg/l	121.20	177.50	175.00	176.00	192.50	165.00	146.00	98.75	552.50	252.00	200.00	137.50		552.50	98.75	199.5
Nitrite mg/I	0.14	0.12	0.20	0.12	0.07	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.05	0.05		0.20	0.01	0.1
Nitrate mg/1	18.46	7.76	8.14	9.35	1.21	4.40	4.37	18.58	5.20	21.76	15.05	19.00		21.76	1.21	11.1
Temp. °C	9.54	10.45	9.91	9.97	16.10	19.13	21.45	22.44	8.02	15.97	12.45	11.51		22.44	8.02	13.9
# of Samples	5	4	4	5	4	4	2	4	4	5	4	4	52	5	4	4
Total Unionized Ammonia mg/I (Pro)	0.001	900.0	0.025	0.002	0.009	0.016	0.008	0.020	0.008	0.004	0.003	0.005		0.025275	0.001280	0.008943
Federal (Quarterly)																
Final Flow Qtr. m3		131941.00			119720.00			200318.00			153907.00					
CBOD Qtr. mg/L		2.00			2.40			2.08			2.00					
SS Qtr. mg/L		1.30			2.28			5.02			6.40					
Number of Days		06			91			92			92					

Federal WSER Acute Lethality
Average Daily Volume Effluent (m³):

1660

APPENDIX B

Calibration Reports for the Reporting Period





Western Office 2088 Jetstream Road London, Ontario **N5V 3P6**

Eastern Office 1602 Old Wooler Road Wooler, Ontario **KOK 3MO**

Flowmetrix

Technical Services Inc.

AS FOUND CERTIFICATION

PASS

CLIENT DETAIL CUSTOMER Municipality of Chatham-Kent - North

CONTACT Brian Patrick

Senior/Chief Operator, OWRC Wallaceburg Pollution Control Plant

795 Gillard Street

Wallaceburg, ON N8A 5G7

T: 519-627-1211 C: 519-354-5664

E: brianpa@chatham-kent.ca

VER. BY - FM Paris Machuk

Quality Management Standards Information -Reference equipment and instrumentation used to conduct this verification test is found in our AC-

QMS document at the time this test was

EQUIPMENT DETAIL Milltronics

[MUT] MANUFACTURER MODEL

CONVERTER SERIAL NUMBER

OCM III

PLANT ID Dresden Water Pollution Control Plant

METER ID Raw Flow (Influent) FIT ID FIT-Influent

CLIENT TAG N/A OTHER N/A

GPS COORDINATES N/A

ADDRESS 699 Comden St. Dresden, ON **VERIFICATION DATE** February 01, 2018

CAL. FREQUENCY Annual CAL. DUE DATE February, 2019

PROGRAMMING PARAMETERS **TOTALIZER** THROAT DIMENSION (DN) inches 12 AS FOUND 5839231 M3 **EMPTY DISTANCE** 1.365 m AS LEFT 5839277 М3 0.293 MAX. HEAD m **DIFFERENCE** 46 М3 **DEAD ZONE** m 1.072 **TEST CRITERIA BLANKING DISTANCE** 0.300 AS FOUND CERTIFICATION TEST m Yes ALLOWABLE [%] ERROR M3/D 9200.8 MAX. FLOW 5 F.S. RANGE - O/P M3/D 9200.0

COMPONENTS TESTED

CONVERTER DISPLAY ves mA OUTPUT no **TOTALIZER** yes ACCURACY BASED ON [% o.r.] no

Ultrasonic sensor installed to ensure full scale flow condition

ERROR DOCUMENTED IN THIS REPORT; BASED ON % F.S.

AS FOUND TEST RESULTS

				22.5	36.2	56.0	78.7	96.0	% F.S. Range
				0.110	0.150	0.200	0.250	0.285	m
REF. FLOW RATE				2074.57	3326.13	5153.43	7237.58	8834.92	M3/D
MUT [Reading]				2209.61	3447.15	5273.95	7371.32	8948.20	M3/D
MUT [Difference]				135.04	121.02	120.52	133.74	113.28	M3/D
MUT [% Error]				1.47	1.32	1.31	1.45	1.23	%
mA OUTPUT									
MUT [Reading]	min.	4.000	mΑ						
MUT [Difference]	max.	20.000	mΑ						
MUT [% Error]									1
TOTALIZER - REF. FLO	OW RAT	ΓE						8834.924	M3/D
TOTALIZER [MUT]								7	M3
TEST TIME								67.02	SECONDS
CALC. TOTALIZER								6.853	M3
ERROR								2.10	%

Note: customer	not using	4-20	mΑ	output	therefore	
not checked.						

QUALITY MANAGEME	NT STANDARD	S INFO.
[QMS] INFORMATION	IDENT.	ID#
[REFERENCE] LEVEL	Sim. BOARD	Yes
PROCESS METER	DMM	N/A
STOP WATCH	SW	Yes

RES	ULTS	
TEST	AVG	PASS
ILOI	%FS	FAIL
DISPLAY	1.33	PASS
mA OUTPUT	N/A	N/A
TOTALIZER	2.10	PASS

This report reflects the test results of the overall accuracy for the above flow converter using the specified manufacturers flow tube simulator to within the specified tolerance as identified within this report.

COMMENTS





Flowmetrix
Technical Services Inc.
Western Office Eastern Office

2088 Jetstream Road London, Ontario NSV 3P6 Eastern Office 1602 Old Wooler Road Wooler, Ontario KOK 3M0

AS FOUND CERTIFICATION

PASS

OCM III

N/A

 CLIENT DETAIL

 CUSTOMER
 Municipality of Chatham-Kent - North
 [MUT] MANUFACTURER
 Milltronics

CONTACT Brian Patrick

Senior/Chief Operator, OWRC Wallaceburg Polintion Control Plant

795 Gillard Street

Wallaceburg, ON N8A 5G7

T: 519-627-1211 C: 519-354-5664

E: brianpa@chatham-kent.ca

VER. BY - FM Paris Machuk

Quality Management Standards Information -Reference equipment and instrumentation used to conduct this verification test is found in our AC-QMS document at the time this test was PLANT ID

CONVERTER SERIAL NUMBER

MODEL

Dresden Water Pollution Control Plant

METER ID Effluent Flow FIT ID FIT-Effluent

CLIENT TAG N/A OTHER N/A

GPS COORDINATES
ADDRESS
N/A
699 Camden St. Dresden, ON

VERIFICATION DATE
CAL. FREQUENCY
Annual
CAL. PRESCRIPTION
CAL. PRESCRIPTIO

CAL. DUE DATE February, 2019

PROGRAMMING PARAMETERS **TOTALIZER** THROAT DIMENSION (DN) inches 12 AS FOUND 7547371 M3 **EMPTY DISTANCE** m 0.875 AS LEFT 7547430 М3 MAX. HEAD 0.293 **DIFFERENCE** m 59 М3 **DEAD ZONE** 0.582 m **TEST CRITERIA BLANKING DISTANCE** m 0.300 AS FOUND CERTIFICATION TEST Yes M3/D 9207.0 ALLOWABLE [%] ERROR MAX. FLOW 5 F.S. RANGE - O/P M3/D 9206.0

COMPONENTS TESTED

 CONVERTER DISPLAY
 yes

 mA OUTPUT
 Yes

 TOTALIZER
 yes

 ACCURACY BASED ON [% o.r.]
 no

78.6

Ultrasonic sensor installed to ensure full scale flow condition

ERROR DOCUMENTED IN THIS REPORT; BASED ON % F.S.

96.0

% F.S. Range

AS	FOU	ND	TEST	RESU	LTS	_

			ı	2017	00.1	00.0	10.0	30.0	/o i .o. ixange
				0.120	0.150	0.200	0.250	0.285	m
REF. FLOW RATE				2368.33	3326.13	5153.43	7237.58	8834.92	M3/D
MUT [Reading]			ŀ	2245.77	3288.64	5108.36	7177.20	8764.60	M3/D
MUT [Difference]				-122.56	-37.50	-45.07	-60.38	-70.32	M3/D
MUT [% Error]			l	-1.33	-0.41	-0.49	-0.66	-0.76	%
mA OUTPUT				8.116	9.780	12.956	16.578	19.353	mA
MUT [Reading]	min.	4.000	mA	7.896	9.738	12.855	16.477	19.233	mA
MUT [Difference]	max.	20.000	mA	-0.220	-0.042	-0.101	-0.101	-0.120	mA
MUT [% Error]				-1.10	-0.21	-0.50	-0.50	-0.60	%
TOTALIZER - REF. FL	OW RA	TE						8834.924	M3/D
TOTALIZER [MUT]								10	M3
TEST TIME								99.15	SECONDS
CALC. TOTALIZER								10.139	M3
ERROR								-1.39	%

36.1

56.0

25.7

COMMENTS QUALITY MAN	AGEMENT STANDAR	DS INFO.	RES	ULTS	
[QMS] INFORM	ATION IDENT.	ID#	TEST	AVG	PASS
[REFERENCE]	LEVEL Sim. BOARD	Yes	IESI	%FS	FAIL
PROCESS ME	TER DMM	2	DISPLAY	-0.58	PASS
STOP WATCH	SW	Yes	mA OUTPUT	-0.58	PASS
		- 14 TA 600	TOTALIZER	-1.39	PASS

This report reflects the test results of the overall accuracy for the above flow converter using the specified manufacturers flow tube simulator to within the specified tolerance as identified within this report.



Western Office 2088 Jetstream Road London, Ontario N5V 3P6

Eastern Office 1602 Old Wooler Road Wooler, Ontario кок змо

Multi-Wavelength Colorimeter Verification Report

PASS

AS FOUND CERTIFICATION

CUSTOMER CONTACT

Municipality of Chatham-Kent

Chief Operator, North East

Dresden WPCP

Dresden, ON NOP 1M0

e. toddd@chatham-kent.ca

VER. BY

Randy Nichol

Quality Management Standards Information -Standards, reference equipment, and

instrumentation used to conduct this test outlining the lot#, and expiry date is found in our current

[MUT] MANUFACTURER MODEL **SERIAL NUMBER CLIENT TAG LOCATION OTHER**

HACH DR3900 1711042

TOLERANCE [mg/L] STANDARD RECOVERY [%]

90

VERIFICATION DATE CAL. FREQUENCY CAL. DUE DATE

Annual June 2019

CHLORINE [CI2] SECONDARY STANDARDS

STANDARD	BLANK [mg/L]		READING mg/L	PASS FAIL	
STD 1	0.21	+/-	0.09	0.25	PASS
STD 2	0.91	+/-	0.10	0.94	PASS
STD 3	1.66	+/-	0.14	1.68	PASS

CHLORINE [CI2] PRIMARY STANDARDS

STANDARD VALUE [mg/L] STANDARD ADDITIONS SIZE [mL] 0.200

BLANK SAMPLE SIZE [mL]

DPD LOT# EXPIRY DATE

A7159

May-22

SAMPLE	Cl ₂	COMBINED	REFERENCE	REFERENCE	MUT	DIFF.	PASS	STANDARD
TEST	STANDARD	SAMPLE	STANDARD	READING	READING	ERROR MINISTRA	FAIL	RECOVERY
#	mL	mL	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L		%
BLANK	0	10.000	0	0	0	0	PASS	N/A
STD 1	0.200	10.200	0.54	0.53	0.53	0.00	PASS	98.1
STD 2	0.400	10.400	1.05	1.01	1.02	0.01	PASS	96.2
STD 3	0.600	10.600	1.55	1:45	1.47	0.02	PASS	93.5
				AVERAGE	RESULTS	0.01	PASS	95.9

COMMENTS

[QMS] INFORMATION	ITEM	ID#
[REFERENCE] HACH PCII	HACH PC II	1
10.0 mL PIPETTE	HACH-PP-10	1
0.2 mL PIPETTE	G-PP-2	1
SECONDARY STANDARDS	AS-CLSS	1
PRIMARY STANDARDS	AS-CLPS	1
ABSORBANCE STANDARDS	AS-ABS	1

ABSO	RBANCE CH	ECKS
WAVELENGTH	TESTED	PASS/FAIL
420 nm	Yes	PASS
520 nm	Yes	PASS
560 nm	Yes	PASS
610 nm	Yes	PASS

Primary and Secondary standards were used to confirm the overall accuracy of this instrument along with conducting an analytical comparative technique against a reference meter. All values are considered "AS FOUND" readings. If the "AS FOUND" readings were not within acceptable limits, an "AS LEFT" report will be issued if the instrument was able to be calibrated to indicate the overall accuracy of the meter. If the meter was not calibrated for any reason, a comment will be issued for this instrument.