Executive Summary – Municipality of Chatham-Kent

Socio-Economic Factors

Socio-economic indicators describe and quantify a municipality's wealth and economic conditions and provide insight into a municipality's collective ability to generate revenue relative to the municipality's demand for public services. An evaluation of socio-economic factors contributes to the development of sound financial policies. An examination of local economic and demographic characteristics can identify the following situations:

• Changes in the tax base as measured by population, property value, employment, or business activity

	Total Survey					
Socio-Economic Factors	Cha	tham-Kent		Average	5	Southwest
2020 Population Density per sq. km.		43		549		386
2011-2016 Population Increase %		-2.0%		4.8%		3.7%
2019 Building Construction Value per Capita	\$	1,631	\$	3,415	\$	4,136
2020 Estimated Average Household Income	\$	80,595	\$	107,715	\$	106,758
2020 Weighted Median Value of Dwelling	\$	169,232	\$	371,559	\$	319,847
2020 Unweighted Assessment per Capita	\$	136,185	\$	174,539	\$	177,239
2020 Weighted Assessment per Capita	\$	106,493	\$	175,380	\$	162,994

• A need to shift public service priorities because of demographic changes in the municipality

Financial Indicators

The Municipal Financial Indicators section of the report includes a number or measures such as the financial position, operating surplus, asset composition ratio, reserves, debt and taxes receivables.

Key financial indicators have been included to help evaluate each municipality's existing financial condition and to identify future challenges and opportunities. A number of industry recognized indicators that are used by credit rating agencies and/or recommended by Government Finance Officers' Association (GFOA) and the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing have been included. Indicators related to *Sustainability, Flexibility* and *Vulnerability* have been included. It should be noted that Water and Wastewater indicators have also been included in the Water/Wastewater section of the report.

The tables on the following page provide highlights from this section of the report.

BMA MANAGEMENT CONSULTING INC.

Sustainability

The ability to provide and maintain service and infrastructure levels without resorting to unplanned increases in rates or cuts to services.

2019 Sustainability Indicators	Chatl	nam-Kent	otal Survey Average
Financial Position per Capita	\$	1,509	\$ 687
Tax Asset Consumption Ratio		48.9%	44.2%
Net Financial Liabilities Ratio		(0.6)	(0.5)

Vulnerability

Addresses a municipality's vulnerability to external sources of funding that it cannot control and its exposure to risks.

2019 Vulnerability Indicators	Chat	nam-Kent	T	otal Survey Average
Reserves				
Tax Reserves (less WWW) as % of Taxation		110.0%		79.7%
Tax Reserves as % of Own Source Revenues		84.8%		59.5%
Tax Reserves / Capita	\$	1,681	\$	831
<u>Debt</u>				
Tax Debt Charges as % of Own Source Revenues		1.5%		4.3%
Total Debt Outstanding / Capita	\$	652	\$	732
Tax Debt Outstanding / Capita	\$	280	\$	514
Debt Outstanding per Own Source Revenue		26.7%		38.5%
Debt to Reserve Ratio		0.4		0.8

Flexibility

The ability to issue debt responsibly without impacting the credit rating. Also, the ability to generate required revenues.

2019 Flexibility Indicators	Chatham-Kent	Total Survey Average
Taxes Receivable as % of Taxes Levied	1.6%	5.6%
Rates Coverage Ratio	75.2%	91.6%

BMA

Analysis of Net Municipal Levy per Capita and Per Assessment

In order to better understand the relative tax position for a municipality, another measure that has been included in the study is a comparison of net municipal levies on a per capita and per \$100,000 basis. This measure indicates the total net municipal levy needed to provide services to the municipality. This analysis does not indicate value for money or the effectiveness in meeting community objectives. Net municipal expenditures per capita may vary as a result of:

- Different service levels
- Variations in the types of services
- Different methods of providing services
- Different residential/non-residential assessment composition
- Varying demand for services
- Locational factors
- Demographic differences
- Socio-economic differences
- Urban/rural composition differences
- User fees policies
- Age of infrastructure
- What is being collected from rates as opposed to property taxes

As such, this analysis is <u>not</u> an "apples to apples" comparison of services, but rather has been included to provide insight into the net cost of providing municipal services within each municipality. Further analysis would be required to determine the cause of the differences across each spending envelope and within each municipality. This analysis was completed using the most current information available – net municipal levies as per the 2020 municipal levy by-laws and the 2020 estimated populations.

	Total Survey						
2020	Chat	ham-Kent		Average	S	outhwest	
Net Municipal Levy per Capita	\$	1,531	\$	1,661	\$	1,646	
Net Municipal Levy per \$100,000 Unweighted CVA	\$	1,124	\$	1,082	\$	1,022	

User Fees

A number of user fees have been included in the Study including the following:

	Total Survey					
2020 Fees	Chath	am-Kent		Average	S	outhwest
Development Charges - Single Detached	\$	5,207	\$	37,789	\$	23,063
Residential Building Permit Fee	\$	2,013	\$	2,348	\$	2,108

Comparison of Tax Ratios

Tax ratios reflect how a property class tax rate compares to the residential rate. Changes in tax ratios affect the relative tax burden between classes of properties. Tax ratios can be used to prevent large shifts of the tax burden caused by relative changes in assessment among property classes as well as to lower the tax rates on a particular class or classes.

2020 Tax Ratios	Chatham-Kent	Total Survey Average
Multi-Residential	1.9404	1.7603
Commercial (Residual)	1.9404	1.6747
Industrial (Residual)	2.0350	2.1610

Taxes and Comparison of Relative Taxes

The purpose of this section of the report is to undertake "like" property comparisons across each municipality and across various property types. In total there are 12 property types in the residential, multi-residential, commercial and industrial classes. There are many reasons for differences in relative tax burdens across municipalities and across property classes including, but not limited to:

- Differences in values of like properties
- Differences in the tax ratios and the use of optional classes
- Non-uniform education tax rates in the non-residential classes
- Level of service provided and the associated costs
- Extent to which a municipality employs user fees
- Access to other sources of revenues such as dividends from hydro utilities and casino revenues

	Total Survey						
2020 Property Taxes	Cha	tham-Kent		Average	5	Southwest	
Detached Bungalow	\$	3,212	\$	3,549	\$	3,143	
2 Storey Home	\$	4,886	\$	4,714	\$	4,400	
Senior Executive Home	\$	5,911	\$	6,560	\$	6,188	
Walk Up Apartment (per Unit)	\$	1,684	\$	1,430	\$	1,362	
Mid/High Rise (per Unit)	\$	2,032	\$	1,802	\$	1,908	
Neigh. Shopping (per sq. ft.)	\$	3.97	\$	3.88	\$	3.61	
Office Building (per sq. ft.)	\$	3.55	\$	3.20	\$	3.14	
Hotels (per Suite)	\$	1,147	\$	1,644	\$	1,562	
Motels (per Suite)	\$	1,290	\$	1,273	\$	1,282	
Industrial Standard (per sq. ft.)	\$	1.03	\$	1.72	\$	1.45	
Industrial Large (per sq. ft)	\$	0.63	\$	1.19	\$	1.08	
Industrial Vacant Land (per Acre)	\$	851	\$	4,681	\$	2,631	

Comparison of Water and Sewer User Costs

A comparison was made of water/sewer costs in each municipality. The following table summarizes the costs in the municipality for water and sewer on typical annual consumption against the overall survey average.

2020 Water/Sewer Cost of	Total Survey					
Service	Cha	atham-Kent	Average		S	outhwest
Residential - 200 m ³	\$	1,174	\$	1,151	\$	1,247
Commercial - 10,000 m ³	\$	28,277	\$	37,812	\$	39,373
Industrial - 30,000 m ³	\$	75,495	\$	109,553	\$	112,831
Industrial - 100,000 m ³	\$	181,866	\$	355,584	\$	361,315
Industrial - 500,000 m ³	\$	787,322	\$	1,751,816	\$	1,793,684

2020 Property Taxes and Water/Wastewater Costs as a % of Income

This section of the report provides a comparison of the availability of gross household income to fund municipal services on a typical household. This provides a measure of affordability within each community.

		Total Survey	
2020 Affordability Indicators	Chatham-Kent	Average	Southwest
Property Taxes as a % of Household Income	3.9%	3.8%	3.6%
Water/Sewer + Taxes as a % of Household Income	5.3%	4.8%	4.5%

Economic Development Programs

A summary was completed of programs that municipalities have implemented to promote economic development in the areas of retention and expansion, downtown development, and brownfield redevelopment.